

Exhibit 6

From: Luke Roniger
Sent: Tuesday, May 31, 2022 1:43 PM
To: Igor Litvak
Cc: Laura Harris; Andrew Michaelson; Sumon Dantiki; Matthew Bush; Paul Weeks
Subject: Rule 26(f) Report
Attachments: 2022.05.31 Joint Rule 26(f) Report - Starovikov (CLEAN).docx; 2022.05.31 Joint Rule 26(f) Report - Starovikov (REDLINE).docx

Igor, please see attached for our proposed edits to the Rule 26 report. I've attached a clean version and a redline against your prior draft.

We're available for a call if you have any questions.

Thanks,
Luke

Luke Roniger
Senior Associate

T: +1 512 457 2044 | E: Ironiger@kslaw.com | [Bio](#) | [vCard](#)

King & Spalding LLP
500 West 2nd Street
Suite 1800
Austin, Texas 78701



kslaw.com

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

GOOGLE LLC,

Plaintiff,

v.

Civil Action No. 1:21-cv-10260-DLC

DMITRY STAROVIKOV;

ALEXANDER FILIPPOV;

Does 1-15,

Defendants.

JOINT RULE 26(F) REPORT

Plaintiff Google LLC (“Google”) and Defendants Dmitry Starovikov and Alexander Filippov (“Defendants,” together with Google, the “parties”) jointly submit the following Rule 26(f) Report and Discovery Plan.

The parties ~~conducted the Rule 26(f) conference~~ conferred telephonically on May ~~6, 18,~~ 6, 18, and 27, 2022. As directed by the Court, the parties conferred about the feasibility of “settlement, whether the defendants are willing to consent to the entry of a permanent injunction, and each of the other subjects to be considered at Fed. R. Civ. P. 16 conference,” and prepared a “detailed written proposed schedule for any motions and discovery” pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(f). ECF 63. The parties respectfully submit the following proposed discovery plan along with the parties’ proposed case schedule ~~attached~~ enclosed as Exhibit A.

A. Initial Disclosures – Rule 26(f)(3)(A)

The parties will serve initial disclosures pursuant to Rule 26(a) no later than June 10, 2022.

The parties agree that the initial disclosures will take the form prescribed in Rule 26(a), and that Defendants' initial disclosures will ~~identify~~include each individual and entity involved in Defendants' business activities described in the Complaint (ECF 5) and Defendants' Declarations (ECF 47-2, 47-3), including for each the name, current contact information, and a description of the individual's or entity's role(s) in Defendants' business activities.

B. Subjects of Discovery and Discovery Completion – Rule 26(f)(3)(B)**1. Subjects of Discovery**

The parties agree that they should "conduct expeditious and targeted discovery" to resolve ~~this~~the case as ~~expeditiously~~efficiently as possible. See ECF 62 at 32. The parties agree that fact discovery in this action should be phased. The ~~initial~~first phase of fact discovery will ~~be targeted and focused~~focus on identifying the Doe Defendants and their roles in Defendants' business activities described in the Complaint. ~~With five (5) and Defendants' Declarations. Within five~~ business days of Defendants' initial disclosures, Google will serve targeted discovery requests for the purpose of obtaining any additional information necessary to amend the Complaint to include any additional named defendants. If no such individuals are identified in this ~~preliminary~~first phase of fact discovery, Google intends to ~~expeditiously~~ renew its ~~request~~motion for default judgment and a permanent injunction against the Doe Defendants. Defendants agree not to oppose any such motion.

Once this ~~initial~~first phase of discovery is complete, including the resolution of any disputes regarding such discovery, the parties anticipate additional, targeted discovery regarding the parties' respective claims and defenses, ~~as set forth in Section E.~~

2. Fact Discovery

The parties' proposed case schedule, including the deadlines for fact discovery, is ~~attached~~enclosed as Exhibit A.

3. Expert Discovery

The parties' proposed case schedule, including the deadlines for expert discovery, is ~~attached~~enclosed as Exhibit A.

C. Disclosure, Discovery, or Preservation of ESI – Rule 26(f)(3)(C)

The parties anticipate that the scope of discovery will ~~encompass~~include Electronically Stored Information ("ESI"). The parties will request ESI in the form or forms that facilitate efficient review of ESI. ~~Google also anticipates that it will request to inspect Defendants' computers and other devices over which the Defendants have actual and physical control and possession, and which were used in their business practices described in the Complaint and Defendants' declarations, and. Similarly, Defendants reserve their rights to inspect Plaintiff's computers and other devices utilized or used in the investigation of allegations described in the Complaint and Plaintiff's declarations. Reciprocally, Defendants request that the Court order Plaintiff to preserve such computers and/or devices for production in this litigation. While the parties reserve all rights in this regard, they agree to preserve ESI and any relevant computers and/or devices for the duration of this litigation.~~

D. Privilege – Rule 26(f)(3)(D)

The parties agree that they will serve privilege logs in accordance with the guidelines set forth in Local Civil Rule 26.2 no later than 45 days after the production from which the document was withheld, unless otherwise agreed. The parties further agree that privileged or otherwise protected communications with outside counsel after the date of the respective party's first

appearance in this action need not be logged. The parties also agree that they have reserved all rights to challenge any privilege claims, whether described on privilege logs or otherwise.

E. Limitations on Discovery – Rule 26(f)(3)(E)

The parties agree that ~~at the first phase of fact~~ discovery ~~should~~will be limited ~~to the remaining factual issues: (1) Defendants' claimed "lack [of] knowledge of the criminal schemes that Google alleges they control"; (2) Defendants' claim that they "did not intend to target U.S. users"; and (3) Defendants' claim that "user participation in their services is entirely legitimate and voluntary."~~ ECF 62 at 11, as set forth herein. The parties reserve their respective rights to ~~return to the issue of whether to expand~~meet and confer regarding the scope of discovery to commence after the conclusion of the first phase of fact discovery. ~~This paragraph does not limit in any way Defendants right to, and prior to serving discovery concerning Plaintiff's investigation of the Defendants and Defendant's counterclaims, and any matters and issues related to the same~~requests beyond those contemplated by the first phase of fact discovery.

F. Other Orders That the Court Should Issue – Rule 26(f)(3)(F)

The parties ~~are negotiating [intend to negotiate]~~will present a stipulated protective order ~~that they intend to present~~ to the Court. The parties agree that neither side should exchange confidential documents under Rule 26(a)(1)(A)(ii) until a protective order is entered in this case.

G. Other Matters

1. Settlement

The parties discussed settlement and "whether the defendants are willing to consent to the entry of a permanent injunction." ECF 63. ~~While no settlement agreement has been reached, the parties are continuing to discuss the possibility of resolving this case without further litigation, including whether there is a form of permanent injunction to which Defendants are willing to consent~~Defendants have declined to consent to any permanent injunction.

2. Consent to Magistrate Judge

The parties do not consent to proceed before a magistrate judge.

3. Joinder of Parties and Amendment of Pleadings

Google ~~anticipates that it will~~may amend its Complaint to add named defendants ~~once~~
~~those persons have identified by Defendants in~~if discovery identifies additional individuals. The parties propose that the deadline for amendments to the pleadings as of right be thirty (30) days from the completion of the first phase of fact discovery, including the resolution of any disputes regarding such discovery. Google reserves all of its rights to seek leave to amend the Complaint.

4. Service of Pleadings and Discovery

The parties agree that as to all parties who have appeared in this action, all papers and discovery material not filed with the Court via CM/ECF shall be served via email and/or FTP on counsel of record for each party, except to the extent that electronic service is not practically available for any particular discovery material, in which case service shall be made to counsel of record for the opposing party(ies) at the following locations:

For Google:

Laura Harris
KING & SPALDING LLP
1185 Avenue of the Americas, 34th Floor
New York, NY 10036

For Defendants:

Igor Litvak
The Litvak Law Firm, PLLC
1733 Sheepshead Bay Road, Suite 22
Brooklyn, NY 11235

Formatted: Keep with next

5. Pretrial Submissions

The parties jointly request that pretrial matters, including the deadline for witness lists and other pretrial submissions, be deferred until after the Court's resolution of summary judgment motions.

DATED: May 11, 2022

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ SIGNATURE

Laura Harris
Andrew Michaelson
Kathleen E. McCarthy
Matthew L. Bush
KING & SPALDING LLP
1185 Avenue of the Americas, 34th Floor
New York, NY 10036
Telephone: (212) 790-5356
Fax: (212) 556-2222
lharris@kslaw.com
amichaelson@kslaw.com
kmccarthy@kslaw.com
mbush@kslaw.com

Sumon Dantiki (*pro hac vice*)
~~David P. Mattern (*pro hac vice*)~~
KING & SPALDING LLP
1700 Pennsylvania Ave., NW, 2nd Floor
Washington, DC 20006
Telephone: (202) 626-5591
Fax: (202) 626-3737
sdantiki@kslaw.com
~~dmattern@kslaw.com~~

Counsel for Plaintiff Google LLC

Igor B. Litvak

| /s/ SIGNATURE

The Litvak Law Firm
1733 Sheepshead Bay Rd., Suite 22
Brooklyn, NY 11235
Tel/Fax: 718-989-2908
Email: Igor@LitvakLawNY.com

Counsel for Defendants

EXHIBIT A
PROPOSED SCHEDULE

Event	Proposed Deadline
Initial Pretrial Conference	June 1, 2022
Initial Disclosures Served	June 10, 2022
Google Serves Discovery Requests for the First Phase of Fact Discovery	June 17, 2022
Remaining Fact Discovery Commences	Immediately following completion of the first phase of fact discovery
Deadline for Amending Pleadings as of Right	30 days following completion of the first phase of fact discovery
Close of Fact Discovery	October 3, 2022
Expert Reports from Parties Bearing the Burden on an Issue	November 4, 2022
Rebuttal Expert Reports	December 9, 2022
Reply Expert Reports	January 9, 2023
Motion(s) for Summary Judgment	February 10, 2023, <u>or earlier by consent of the parties or with the Court's leave</u>
Opposition(s) to Motion(s) for Summary Judgment	March 13, 2023
Reply(ies) in Support of Motion(s) for Summary Judgment	April 3, 2023